

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,086	02/03/2004	Mo Jafari	ACSG-67401 (3052C)	2378
24201 FULWIDER P.	7590 07/24/200 ATTON LLP	EXAMINER		
	GHES CENTER	SZMAL, BRIAN SCOTT		
6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90045			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
				. •
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/772,086	JAFARI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Brian Szmal	3736

·	Brian Szmal	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 June 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af vice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).						
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:			•			
Claim(s) objected to:			•			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	ut hotors or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Anneal will n	nt he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 7/10/07						
13. Other:						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of Imran et al and Thorud et al still disclose the current claims. Imran et al discloses the teaching of using a weld to join the two guidewire portions together, but does not explicitly call the weld a spot weld. Thorud et al provides the teaching that a spot weld can join parts of a guidewire together. Therefore, the current rejection is being maintained.

MAX F. HINDENBURG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700